



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Expression profiling reveals differences in immuno-inflammatory gene expression between the two disease forms of sheep paratuberculosis

### Citation for published version:

Smeed, JA, Watkins, CA, Gossner, AG & Hopkins, J 2010, 'Expression profiling reveals differences in immuno-inflammatory gene expression between the two disease forms of sheep paratuberculosis', *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, vol. 135, no. 3-4, pp. 218-25.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2009.11.015>

### Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.vetimm.2009.11.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2009.11.015)

### Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

### Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

### Published In:

*Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*

### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Manuscript Number: VETIMM-D-09-1576R1

Title: Expression profiling reveals differences in immuno-inflammatory gene expression between the two disease forms of sheep paratuberculosis

Article Type: Research Paper - Full length

Keywords: Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis  
MAP  
Sheep  
Chemokines  
Genomics  
Pathology.

Corresponding Author: Professor J. Hopkins,

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Edinburgh, Royal (Dick) School of Vet. Studies

First Author: Jennifer A Smeed, BSc. PhD.

Order of Authors: Jennifer A Smeed, BSc. PhD. ; Craig A Watkins, BSc. PhD; Anton G Gossner, BSc. PhD; J. Hopkins



The Editor  
*Veterinary Immunology & Immunopathology*

Professor John Hopkins  
THE ROSLIN INSTITUTE  
R(D)SVS  
University of Edinburgh  
Summerhall  
Edinburgh, EH9 1QH  
UK

Telephone +44 (0)131 650 6169  
Fax +44 (0)131 650 6511  
[john.hopkins@ed.ac.uk](mailto:john.hopkins@ed.ac.uk)  
[www.roslin.ed.ac.uk](http://www.roslin.ed.ac.uk)

26 Oct 2009

Ms. No. VETIMM-D-09-1576

Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology

Many thanks to the referees for positive and informative comments. We have modified the manuscript in accordance with these comments.

Reviewer #1.

1. The title has now been changed to better reflect the content of the paper.
2. We have amended the Abstract to make unambiguous that we have measured transcripts and not protein.
3. Clinical signs clarified etc. Have explained and referenced IS900
4. We have included descriptions of results for chemokines/receptors/TCR molecules in the Results. I have reformatted Table 1 so that the genes are in strict alphabetic order; it is not possible to arrange them in comparison order as there would be some duplication.
5. The point of the RT-qPCR is validation of the array results – and this it does (section 3.2). The rationale for their selection is now explained in section 3.2.
6. IGFBP6 was represented in the array (see supplementary data Array Express) – but in these experiments it did not show differential expression of  $>1.5$  and  $p \leq 0.05$  in any comparison. As referenced in the manuscript we had previously identified it in a preliminary series of experiments (Roupaka MSc thesis 2004).
7. There was strong correlation between array and RT-qPCR (section 3.2) but difference in absolute values – this is a common finding and comments/references etc have now been added to the Discussion.
8. MMP9 and TYROBP were not discussed specifically because the purpose of the paper was not to cover all genes exhaustively, but to focus on areas of particular interest. If required I can add a paragraph to the discussion – but it will not add much.

Minor comments.... Asterisks explained in Table 1. SDHA/YWHAZ defined.

Reviewer #2.

1. See comment 1 and 2 (Reviewer #1).
2. Abbreviations now defined.
3. Further detailed choice of housekeeping genes. The two used were selected from six; including ribosomal protein L18, ATPase, GAPDH,  $\beta$  actin as well as SDHA and YWHAZ. I can list these in the text if you wish – but it is not very relevant to the paper.
4. MIQE guidelines followed for abbreviations.
5. Statistics further explained, end section 2.5.
6. References added and clarified. Rather than adding several references for well known facts I have included a reference for an informative review (Campbell *et al.* 2003).
7. Fig 1 legend better described.

Very many thanks,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jon A. P. C.' with a stylized flourish at the end.

1 **Expression profiling reveals differences in immuno-inflammatory**  
2 **gene expression between the two disease forms of sheep**  
3 **paratuberculosis**

4  
5 J. A. Smeed<sup>a,c</sup>, C. A. Watkins<sup>b,c</sup>, A. G. Gossner<sup>a</sup>, J. Hopkins<sup>a,\*</sup>  
6

7 <sup>a</sup>*The Roslin Institute, Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of Edinburgh, Summerhall,*  
8 *Edinburgh, EH9 1QH, UK.*

9 <sup>b</sup>*Moredun Research Institute, International Research Centre, Pentlands Science Park, Penicuik,*  
10 *Midlothian EH26 0PZ, UK.*  
11

12 <sup>c</sup> Equal contribution  
13  
14

15 \*Corresponding author at: The Roslin Institute & Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies,  
16 University of Edinburgh, Summerhall, Edinburgh, EH9 1QH, UK. Tel. +44 131 650 6169;  
17 Fax. +44 131 650 6511.  
18 *E-mail address:* [john.hopkins@ed.ac.uk](mailto:john.hopkins@ed.ac.uk)  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1   **Abstract**

2   Paratuberculosis is a chronic enteropathy of ruminants caused by *Mycobacterium avium*  
3   subspecies *paratuberculosis* (MAP); infection of sheep results in two disease forms –  
4   paucibacillary (tuberculoid) and multibacillary (lepromatous) associated with the differential  
5   polarization of the immune response. In addition the majority of MAP-infected animals show  
6   no pathology and remain asymptomatic. Microarray and real-time RT-qPCR analyses were  
7   used to compare gene expression in ileum from sheep with the two disease forms and  
8   asymptomatic sheep, to further understand the molecular basis of the pathologies.  
9   Microarrays identified 36 genes with fold-change of  $>1.5$  and  $P \leq 0.05$  in at least one  
10   comparison; eight candidates were chosen for RT-qPCR validation. Sequence analysis of two  
11   candidates, CXCR4 and IGFBP6, identified three SNPs in each; five were found in all three  
12   forms of disease and showed no significant relationship to pathological type. The IGFBP6 G  
13   <sup>3743</sup> A SNP was not detected in asymptomatic sheep. The data show that the two forms of  
14   disease are associated with distinct molecular profiles highlighted by the differential  
15   expression of chemokine and chemokine receptor transcripts, the protein products of which  
16   might be implicated in the different cell infiltrates of the pathologies. The cells within the  
17   lesions also show evidence of abnormal activation; they express high levels of cytokine  
18   transcripts but have reduced expression levels of transcripts for T cell receptor associated  
19   molecules.

20

21   *Keywords:*

22   *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*

23   MAP

24   Sheep

25   Chemokines

26   Genomics

27   Pathology

28

## 1. Introduction

*Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* (MAP) is a facultative intracellular bacterium that primarily infects macrophages and is the causative agent of paratuberculosis (Johne's disease), a common enteropathy of ruminants. In cattle an extended preclinical phase is dominated by a type 1 immune response that is followed by progression to fatal granulomatous disease, which is linked to a switch to a type 2 response and the production of non-protective IgG1 antibodies (Koets et al., 2002; Kurade et al., 2004). In sheep both type 1 and type 2 responses give rise to disease states (Clarke, 1997) and there seems to be no progression from the paucibacillary (type 1 or tuberculoid) to the multibacillary (type 2 or lepromatous) disease; both are fatal. As with homologous pathologies in tuberculosis and leprosy, the cytokines that dominate paucibacillary paratuberculosis are IFN $\gamma$  and IL-12, while IL-10 dominates multibacillary disease (Smeed *et al.*, 2007). Only a minority of infected (IS900+) sheep progress to disease and the majority remain asymptomatic with no pathology (Koets et al., 2002; Smeed et al., 2007; de Silva et al., 2009).

We hypothesize that the polarization of the immune response is critical to the clinical outcome of paratuberculosis infection. Intestinal tissue damage that results from a type 1 response involves lymphocyte and eosinophil infiltration and is fundamentally different to that caused by a type 2 response, which leads to epithelioid macrophage infiltration and dissemination of infection (Smeed *et al.*, 2007). The related disease leprosy also has this dichotomy of immunology and pathology (Meisner *et al.*, 2001). Furthermore there is a clear relationship between host genetics and disease type (Fortin *et al.*, 2007); many genes have been implicated but one that has received much attention is solute carrier family 11 (SLC11A1, NRAMP-1 or Bcg), which is linked to both tuberculosis susceptibility (Vidal *et al.*, 1993) and discrimination of the two forms of human leprosy (Meisner *et al.*, 2001).

1 Resistance to MAP infection in mice is also partly linked to this gene, with animals carrying  
2 the Bcg<sup>r</sup> allele (e.g. C3H) being refractory to infection (Veazey *et al.*, 1995).

3 The principal cell populations associated with mycobacterial infection are cells of the  
4 macrophage lineage, a major function of which is the activation of T cells and initiation of the  
5 immune response. Antigen-presenting cell subsets play a crucial role in the polarization of  
6 responses through the differential expression of IL-10 and IL-12 (Pulendran *et al.*, 1999).

7 They also show differential expression of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) (Nalubamba *et*  
8 *al.*, 2008), and differential PRR activation can tailor the immune response (Kapsenberg,  
9 2003). Both TLR2 and CARD15 (NOD2) have been shown to be up-regulated in clinical  
10 paratuberculosis (Nalubamba *et al.*, 2008) and involved in both recognition (Ferwerda *et al.*,  
11 2007) and response (Weiss *et al.*, 2001) to MAP. Indeed, there is growing evidence for  
12 linkage of TLR2 and/or CARD15 mutations and susceptibility to human mycobacterial  
13 diseases (Bohud *et al.*, 2003; Ben-Ali *et al.*, 2004) and bovine MAP infection (Mucha *et al.*,  
14 2009).

15 To begin to unravel the complex interactions between MAP and the immune system  
16 that gives rise to bovine paratuberculosis, Coussens and colleagues have used bovine  
17 leukocyte microarrays to examine transcript changes in peripheral blood mononuclear cells  
18 (PBMC) (Coussens *et al.*, 2002) and macrophages (Murphy *et al.*, 2006). In addition they  
19 have examined cattle ileal tissue (Aho *et al.*, 2003) in order to understand the mechanisms  
20 that lead to intestinal tissue damage and have identified a number of genes involved in the  
21 immunology and pathogenesis of bovine paratuberculosis (including insulin-like growth  
22 factor binding protein-6 - IGFBP6). Our own studies (Smeed *et al.*, 2007; Nalubamba *et al.*,  
23 2008) have focussed on ovine paratuberculosis because of the defined pathologies in sheep  
24 and exploit an oligonucleotide microarray of ~600 immuno-inflammatory genes (Watkins *et*  
25 *al.*, 2008) to gain insight into the role of these genes in the development of the different  
26 pathologies. The defined nature of the disease phenotype in sheep facilitates the identification  
27 of genetic markers associated with pathological type, and might also inform studies in cattle.



Competitive hybridization of cDNA isolated from the ileum (the site of paratuberculosis lesions) between the three infected groups was performed to identify changes to transcript expression associated with each disease group and develop molecular signatures of each pathological form. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) on selected candidate genes was used to validate the arrays and the data were analysed to identify and compare physiological pathways associated with the contrasting pathologies. Data sets gathered from such experiments provide the potential to follow pathways of immune reactivity as well as assessing disease states that can then be related to specific gene expression signatures. In addition, as the epidemiology of these mycobacterial diseases suggests a genetic susceptibility (Ben-Ali *et al.*, 2004) we investigated this link, by a preliminary single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis, between polymorphisms in two of the candidates and pathological form.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1. Experimental animals and tissues**

MAP infected animals presented with clinical Johne's disease (diagnosed by a veterinary surgeon: prolonged weight loss, inappetance and depression, and occasional diarrhoea) were out bred, female sheep (Table S1) of a variety of breeds and ages. All sheep were euthanized and diagnosis was confirmed by histopathology, Ziehl Neelsen (ZN) staining and IS900 real-time quantitative PCR with a sensitivity of 5 genome equivalents (Eishi *et al.*, 2002); sheep of similar ages from the same flocks (Nalubamba *et al.*, 2008), with no signs of clinical Johne's disease and positive for IS900 DNA were considered asymptomatic (Smeed *et al.*, 2007). IS900 is a specific marker for the precise identification of MAP (Green *et al.*, 1989). Animal procedures were performed under a valid Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 Project Licence.

## 2.2. RNA isolation

Terminal ileum sections (~0.5 g) were placed in five volumes of *RNAlater* (Qiagen, Crawley, UK), incubated overnight at 4°C and stored at -80°C. Tissues were homogenized in guanidine isothiocyanate lysis buffer (RNeasy, Qiagen) and RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Maxi kit, eluted in 0.8 ml of RNase-free water, precipitated with ethanol and resuspended in 0.3 ml of RNase-free water. Samples were DNase I digested (Qiagen) and genomic DNA contamination assessed by GAPDH PCR and a no reverse transcriptase control. RNA quality was assessed using a RNA 6000 Nano LabChip on the Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer and quantified using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer; all samples had an RNA integrity number >7; n = 6 for the microarray experiments.

## 2.3. Preparation of labelled cDNA and microarray hybridization

cDNA generation and indirect labelling was performed using the Fairplay III Microarray Labelling Kit (Stratagene, Cambridge, UK) incorporating Cy3 and Cy5 dyes (GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK); the DyeEx spin 2.0 kit (Qiagen) removed unincorporated dye. Pre-hybridization and hybridization was carried out using the Pronto!<sup>TM</sup> Kit (Corning, Loughborough, UK). This analysis utilized the ruminant immuno-inflammatory gene universal array (Watkins *et al.*, 2008); 4,824 spots from 596 genes. A 22x22 mm lifterslip (VWR, VWR, Poole, UK) was then placed over the array and the slide pre-heated to 42°C. Equal dye concentrations from two samples (30 pmols each) were mixed, dried and resuspended in 20 µl cDNA hybridization solution and introduced onto the array. The slides were incubated for 16 - 20 h at 42°C with agitation every 7 s, using a SlideBooster (Implen, Stansted Mountfitchet, UK) and post-hybridization washes of the microarray slides carried out using the Pronto!<sup>TM</sup> Kit, using an AdvaWash AW400 slide washer (Implen). The slides were scanned using a GenePix Autoloader 4200AL, controlled by GenePix Pro v 6.1 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). In total, we performed 18 microarrays. All groups contained six animals and every sample was analysed on three arrays, with each animal being

1 compared with an animal in each of the other groups. The identity of the pairings between  
2 each group was chosen at random.

3       Protocols of the experimental procedures, methods of analysis and microarray data  
4 are available as supplementary information in the European Bioinformatics Institute's  
5 ArrayExpress database ([www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress)). Login to [www.ebi.ac.uk/aerep/login](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/aerep/login)  
6 and query for the accession number of the experiment or array design E-TABM-487.

#### 8   2.4.   *Microarray data analysis*

9       Scanned images were aligned to the GenePix Array List (GAL file); background  
10 noise subtracted; spot intensity amplitudes generated and quality data extracted using  
11 BlueFuse v3.3 (BlueGnome, Cambridge, UK). The log<sub>2</sub> ratios from the two channels for each  
12 spot were calculated by Bluefuse. Data generated by Bluefuse were pre-processed using  
13 Limma within BioConductor 2.2 (<http://www.bioconductor.org>). Normalization was carried  
14 out using a weighted print-tip Loess method. Spots were weighted by a confidence value  
15 provided by BlueFuse. Differentially expressed genes from the different group comparisons  
16 (Table 1) were classified based on biological process using GO annotation. GORetriever,  
17 GOanna and GoSlimViewer (<http://www.agbase.msstate.edu>) were used to annotate gene  
18 products based on sequence similarity.

#### 20   2.5.   *Real time RT-qPCR validation*

21       RT-qPCR was performed using a Corbett Rotor-Gene™ 3000 (Qiagen). First  
22 strand cDNA synthesis used 0.5 µg of total RNA and Oligo(dT) and Superscript™ III RT  
23 (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), from all RNA samples used for microarrays with a further two  
24 samples from each of the groups (n = 8). Primers for candidate genes were designed (Table  
25 S2) and the amplicons cloned using pGEM-T Easy kit (Promega, Southampton, UK). PCR  
26 conditions were optimized using a standard curve generated from linearized plasmid. All  
27 serial dilutions were generated using a CAS-1200™ Precision Liquid Handling System

(Qiagen). Succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit A (SDHA) and tyrosine 3/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, zeta (YWHAZ); were chosen from a group of six using GeNorm v3.4 (Vandesompele *et al.*, 2002) and NormFinder v 0.953 (Andersen *et al.*, 2004), as the two most stably expressed genes to normalize the RT-qPCR assays. All reactions were 10 µl volumes containing 4 µl of cDNA at the optimum dilution (1:20), 5 µl 2x FastStart SYBR Green Master mix (Roche, Lewes, UK) and 0.5 µl of each primer at optimum concentration (Table S2). PCR conditions were: 94°C for 5 min and 45 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, 60°C for 20 s, 72°C for 20 s, followed by dissociation curve analysis to confirm a single gene product. Each run assayed one gene and contained all samples in duplicate, a cDNA standard curve and a non-template control. This was repeated twice using cDNA from two additional RT reactions, resulting in 6 data points for each gene. The average C<sub>q</sub> value for each sample was calculated and converted into relative quantities, taking account of the gene specific efficiency of the reaction and multiple reference gene normalization, using qBase (Hellemans *et al.*, 2007). Statistical analyses were performed on data from individual animals using Kolmogorov-Smirnov to test for normality of distribution and two-tailed Student's t-test for each of the comparisons.

## 2.6. PCR and DNA sequencing

DNA was extracted from 250 mg ileum using the Qiagen DNEasy Blood and Tissue kit or Qbiogene Fast DNA kit and quantified using the Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrometer. Full length mRNA sequence was obtained for sheep CXCR4 and IGFBP6, and aligned to the bovine genome to identify the coding regions in the genomic sequence. Primers (Table S3) were designed to amplify the whole bovine coding region and the intron / exon boundaries where possible (Fig. S1). Three PCR reactions were performed with each set of primers on ten animals in each infected group (Table S1). The PCR products from each sample were mixed, purified, ligated and cloned into the PGEM-T easy. Three independent sequences were produced for each PCR product and consensus sequences produced using VectorNTI AlignX

(Invitrogen). Differences between the genomic sequences were considered to be SNPs when the same change was found consistently in two or more of the consensus sequences. The distribution of alleles and genotypes was compared between the pathological groups using the  $\chi^2$ -test.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Microarray analysis

Of the 596 genes analysed, 36 fulfilled the criteria of fold-change of  $\geq 1.5$  and  $P \leq 0.05$  in at least one comparison (Table 1 and supplementary information). The fold-changes shown are means of all probes for each gene. Intrinsic variation within replicate spots on single arrays (technical) and between arrays (biological) was determined. Technical variation was determined by comparing normalized  $\log_2$  ratios of the spots printed in triplicate within each array, for six replicate array experiments of each comparison. The median standard deviation for the 3 comparisons varied from 0.24 (for multi- verses paucibacillary (M v P) to 0.26 (for multi- verses asymptomatic (M v A) with a variance of between 0.06 and 0.07 across the three comparisons. The biological variation was analysed by comparing the  $\log_2$  ratios (CH1:CH2) of identical spots between the 6 replicate arrays in each comparison. The median standard deviation for each comparison ranged from 0.37 to 0.42, with a variance between 0.14 and 0.18 across all comparisons, demonstrating that within chip variability (technical variation) was between third and a half of the between chip variation (biological variation).

ITGAL was the only gene significantly affected in all three comparisons (Table 1, Fig. 1) and two other genes (SELL and TFRC) were up-regulated but  $P \geq 0.05$ . Five genes were differentially expressed between the two disease groups and the asymptomatic group (Table 1). Fifteen genes were significantly different in the paucibacillary vs asymptomatic comparison including a 1.52 fold repression of CCL5 and a 2.25 fold increase in CXCL10. Twenty three were significantly different in the multibacillary vs asymptomatic comparison including a 1.64 fold increase in CCL2; 1.54 and 1.82 fold increases in CXCR3 and CXCR4 and 1.59 and 1.67 decreases in CD3E and CD3F. The pauci- vs multibacillary comparison

identified fifteen affected genes, but only four (C9, CLIC5, ITGAM and MMP7) were unique to that comparison, as the other comparative data was not significant ( $<1.5$  and  $p>0.05$ ). Within the limitations of this focussed microarray, it is clear that the differentially expressed genes associated with each of the paratuberculosis pathologies were connected with a distinct range of biological processes (Fig. 2). Both clinical diseases showed changes to genes linked to responses to biotic stimuli, cell differentiation and signal transduction but only in the multibacillary form were there changes to genes associated with cell communication.

### 3.2. *RT-qPCR validation of microarray results*

Of the 36 genes found to be differentially expressed in the microarray experiment, seven candidates were chosen for RT-qPCR validation of the array; CD63, CXCR4, IGF2R, ITGB2, MMP9, TLR2, and TYROBP; on the basis that they represent different biological processes (Fig. 2) and were differentially expressed between pauci- and multibacillary samples. In addition we identified IGFBP6 as it had been highlighted as being differentially expressed in bovine paratuberculosis (Coussens *et al.*, 2004) and in a preliminary array analysis (Roupaka, 2004). Table 2 shows the results expressed as relative fold-changes in the same comparisons as performed in the microarray. The RT-qPCR analyses for seven original candidate genes validated the results from the microarray experiment with a correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) 0.63 – 0.97, mean of 0.87. Comparison (two-tailed Students  $t$  test) of expression levels of all eight candidates between Blackface x Blue du Maine vs other breeds in the paucibacillary group and Blackface x Blue du Maine vs other breeds in the asymptomatic group showed that breed had no significant impact ( $P > 0.8$ ) on the distribution of the data. Similar comparisons of the 4-5 year old vs the 2-3 year old Blackface sheep in the multibacillary group also showed that age also had no effect on the data ( $P > 0.9$ ).

### 3.2. *Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis*

Analysis of the consensus CXCR4 sequences revealed the presence of three synonymous SNPs; in exon 2 a C to T change at position 2080 and a G to A change at 2275

1 and in exon 3 a G to A change at 3361; the last was 3' of the stop codon. Analysis of IGFBP6  
2 sequence also revealed three SNPs – a non-synonymous C to A change at position 12 in exon  
3 1, which changes a histidine to a glutamine; a synonymous G to A change at 2730 in intron 3  
4 and a G to A change at 3743 in exon 4, 3' of the stop codon at position 3612 (Fig. S1). When  
5 these six SNPs were analysed in relation to infection there was no significant relationship  
6 between any SNP and disease form (Table 3). Each of the three CXCR4 SNPs and two  
7 IGFBP6 SNPs were found in all infected groups. However, IGFBP6 SNP3 (G 3822 A) was  
8 only found in clinically diseased animals, and was absent from the asymptomatic group. The  
9 animals tested were of a variety of breeds and crosses (Table S1) but there was no  
10 discernable, significant relationship between breed and any genotype ( $\chi^2$ ,  $P \geq 0.79$ ).

11  
12

13 **4. Discussion**

14 This is the first microarray analysis of ileal gene expression in sheep with clinical  
15 paratuberculosis and directly compares gene expression at the site of infection in each of the  
16 pathologies, and has identified novel pathways involved in MAP pathogenesis. Previous work  
17 on sheep paratuberculosis has shown that the pauci- and multibacillary pathologies are  
18 associated type 1 and type 2 T cell responses respectively, with the differential activation of T  
19 cells (Burrells *et al.*, 1998) and the expression of quite distinct panels of both innate receptors  
20 (Nalubamba *et al.*, 2008) and cytokines (Smeed *et al.*, 2007). Analysis of the data for the  
21 eight candidate genes indicates that it is highly unlikely that these changes are associated with  
22 the breed or age (Table SI). Paratuberculosis is characterized by extensive inflammation and  
23 cellular infiltration of the terminal ileum; paucibacillary disease is associated with  
24 lymphocytes and eosinophils, and multibacillary lesions with epithelioid macrophages  
25 (Smeed *et al.*, 2007). These variations in the cellular composition are reflected in the  
26 differences in gene expression profiles detected by microarray, with 36 genes found to be  
27 differentially expressed (>1.5 fold and  $P \leq 0.05$ ) in at least one comparison (Table 1). The RT-

qPCR data justify our decision to use 1.5 fold (and  $p \leq 0.05$ ) as the cut-off point for our candidate gene selection as they confirmed the array data in relation to the direction of fold change. In addition, fold changes of just under 1.5 (but  $p \leq 0.05$ ) were shown to be significantly different by RT-qPCR, e.g. MMP9. RT-qPCR also confirmed the array data, both quantitatively and qualitatively, when microarray showed no change ( $>-1.5$  to  $<1.5$ ), e.g. CD63 in the pauci- vs asymptomatic comparison. The correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) between array and RT-qPCR varied between candidate genes (0.63 – 0.97, mean  $r = 0.87$ ) and was similar to that described in other systems (Dallas et al., 2005), but was much lower for genes with a fold change of  $<1.5$ . The magnitude of fold change with RT-qPCR was generally much higher than with microarray, which is probably due to the different sensitivities of the two assays (Morey et al., 2006); nevertheless RT-qPCR is the preferred validation method for microarrays (Dallas et al., 2005).

Within the limitations of the focussed microarray, it is clear that the differentially expressed genes associated with each of the pathologies were connected with a distinct range of biological processes (Fig. 2) probably associated with the different cell infiltrates in the two disease forms. The multibacillary samples displayed a consistent repression of the several genes encoding elements of the T cell receptor complex - CD3E, CD3G (and CD3Z with – 1.47 fold change and  $P \leq 0.05$ ). This might be related to the fact that the principal cells in multibacillary ileum were infected epithelioid macrophages and not T cells. It is possible that this reduction in antigen-receptor expression is a result of infection-associated aberrant activation and may represent a specific bacterial mechanism for the modification of the host immune response towards the development of disease as is seen in tuberculosis (Smith, 2003). Related to this were changes to transcripts of the different immunoglobulin molecules. IGHA1 and IGHM were raised in both disease forms and IGJ was increased in paucibacillary lesions. In view of the fact that B cell receptor associated genes were not raised in infected tissues, these increases in immunoglobulin heavy chain transcripts may be a result of greater numbers of plasma cells rather than mature B cells. This pattern of immunoglobulin heavy



1 chain expression does not fit exactly into the Th1/Th2 paradigm, which would predict high  
2 levels of IGHA only in the multibacillary (lepromatous) lesions (Mosmann and Coffman,  
3 1989).

4       Representatives of the  $\beta 1$  and  $\beta 2$  integrins (ITGA4 and ITGAL) were up-regulated in  
5 the paucibacillary forms. However, their behaviour was less consistent in the multibacillary  
6 form where the  $\beta 1$  integrin ITGA4 is repressed and the  $\beta 2$  integrins ITGAL, ITGAM and  
7 ITGB2 were increased. The four transmembrane domain proteins (e.g. CD63) interact with  
8 integrins and modulate their function; and the high levels of CD63 in multi- relative to  
9 paucibacillary lesions may be indicative of different cell populations associated with those  
10 lesions. The major cellular ligand for the  $\beta 2$  integrins is ICAM1. This, and the lymphocyte  
11 adhesion molecule, SELL (CD62L or L selectin), were high in multibacillary diseased tissues,  
12 which reflects major cellular infiltration and also inflammation, as both are up-regulated by  
13 inflammatory cytokines (Dustin and Springer, 1991).

14       The stimulus for leukocyte infiltration into lesions is probably different for each  
15 disease form. CCL2 was raised in multibacillary lesions (and 1.65 fold but  $P \geq 0.05$  in the  
16 pauci- vs asymptomatic comparison) and was probably produced by a variety of cells on  
17 stimulation with pro-inflammatory cytokines and IFN $\gamma$ . It is chemotactic for lymphocytes and  
18 monocytes but not eosinophils and its role in inflammation is as an activator of neutrophils  
19 (Campbell *et al.*, 2003). CCL5 is associated with T cell and dendritic cell recruitment, but it  
20 was repressed in the paucibacillary group. CCL5 is a product of activated T cells, but only at  
21 the late stage of activation, and is down-regulated by TGF $\beta$  and IFN $\gamma$  both of which were  
22 increased in paucibacillary tissues (Smeed *et al.*, 2007). This is additional evidence for the  
23 aberrant activation of T cells in the paratuberculosis lesions. The chemokine CXCL10 is  
24 associated with chemoattraction of type 1 T cells (Campbell *et al.*, 2003) and was  
25 significantly raised in paucibacillary lesions and might explain the T cell infiltration.  
26 However its receptor, CXCR3 was up-regulated in multibacillary tissues, probably due to the  
27 effect of high levels of TGF $\beta$ . The closely related chemokine receptor, CXCR4 is also

1 responsive to TGF $\beta$  and was significantly increased in multibacillary tissues. This is the  
2 receptor for CXCL12 (SDF-1) and one of its major functions is as a chemoattractant for Th2  
3 and regulatory T cells (Campbell *et al.*, 2003).

4 IGF2R, the receptor for IGF2 and mannose-6-phosphate, was increased in the  
5 multibacillary disease form and plays a role in the activation of TGF $\beta$  (Melnick *et al.*, 1998),  
6 a gene previously shown to be up-regulated in these samples (Smeed *et al.*, 2007). IGFBP6  
7 was differentially expressed in sheep paratuberculosis (increased in paucibacillary and  
8 repressed in multibacillary diseased ileum) and it was also up-regulated in cattle PBMC  
9 stimulated by MAP (Coussens *et al.*, 2004). It binds with high affinity to IGF2 and inhibits  
10 many of its functions, including proliferation and differentiation (Kelley *et al.*, 1996). Its  
11 expression has previously been reported to be stimulated by IL-1 $\beta$  and TNF $\alpha$  but inhibited by  
12 the presence of TGF $\beta$  (Martin *et al.*, 1994; Liu *et al.*, 1999), and this might explain the  
13 significantly increased levels of IGFBP6 in paucibacillary lesions. In paucibacillary tissues,  
14 high IGFBP6 levels could play a role in controlling cellular proliferation by inhibiting IGF2  
15 and inducing apoptosis. TLR2 was greatly up-regulated in multibacillary lesions, suggesting  
16 that the TLR2 signalling pathway is important in lepromatous pathogenesis. TLR2 is central  
17 to the immune response to mycobacteria, as knockout mice are more susceptible to infections.  
18 In human leprosy TLR2 is linked to differential pathology, as signalling through the mutated  
19 receptor leads to increased IL-10 production and a type 2 immune response (Kang *et al.*,  
20 2004). Consistent with this are increased levels of IL-10 in multibacillary tissues and raised  
21 IFN $\gamma$  in paucibacillary lesions (Smeed *et al.*, 2007). Multibacillary tissues show high levels of  
22 both CXCR4 and TLR2 and, interestingly, cross-talk between these has been reported in  
23 *Porphyromonas gingivalis* infection that leads to the undermining of host defence  
24 (Hajishengallis *et al.*, 2008). The data on the linkage between TLR2 and MAP in ruminants is  
25 not clear. Analysis of TLR2 SNPs in sheep paratuberculosis failed to identify any linkage  
26 with pathological form (Nalubamba *et al.*, 2008) although in cattle there was significant  
27 association of a distinct SNP with MAP infection (Mucha *et al.*, 2009).

1           Due to their differential expression in multibacillary and paucibacillary sheep,  
2 CXCR4 and IGFBP6 were selected for preliminary SNP analysis and to assess whether these  
3 SNPs were associated with gene expression and pathology. All SNPs except IGFBP6 at 3743  
4 were found in the three infected groups and there was no significant association with any  
5 disease form. The IGFBP6 SNP at 3743 is 3' to the stop codon and was not found in  
6 asymptomatic sheep; there was no statistically significant association with disease form  
7 possibly because of the small numbers of animals (n=10) in each group.

8           To summarize, this study identifies novel genes and pathways linked to mycobacterial  
9 pathologies. The two disease forms of sheep paratuberculosis are associated with distinct  
10 molecular profiles highlighted by the differential expression of chemokines, which are  
11 implicated in the different cellular infiltrates of the pathologies and the polarization of the  
12 immune response. Cells within the lesions show evidence of abnormal activation, with high  
13 levels of cytokine transcripts and a reduction in expression levels of transcripts for  
14 lymphocyte receptor molecules. Preliminary analysis could identify no significant link  
15 between CXCR4 and IGFBP6 SNPs and paratuberculosis pathologies.

## 18 **Acknowledgements**

19           We acknowledge Jill Sales (BioSS) for help with experimental and statistical design  
20 and the clinical staff at the Moredun Research Institute. This project was funded by the  
21 BBSRC and SEERAD (Project Grants 15/S19602 and 15/S13964). JAS was funded by  
22 BBSRC/Genesis-Faraday CASE studentship and sponsored by Moredun Scientific Ltd.  
23 Midlothian, UK.

1   **References**

- 2   Aho, A.D., McNulty, A.M., Coussens, P.M., 2003. Enhanced expression of interleukin-1 $\alpha$   
3       and tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated protein 1 in ileal tissues of cattle  
4       infected with *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. *Infect. Immun.* 71,  
5       6486.
- 6   Andersen, C.L., Jensen, J.L., Orntoft, T.F., 2004. Normalization of real-time quantitative  
7       reverse transcription-PCR data: a model-based variance estimation approach to  
8       identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets.  
9       *Cancer Res* 64, 5245-5250.
- 10   Ben-Ali, M., Barbouche, M.R., Bousnina, S., Chabbou, A., Dellagi, K., 2004. Toll-like  
11       receptor 2 Arg677Trp polymorphism is associated with susceptibility to tuberculosis  
12       in Tunisian patients. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 11, 625-626.
- 13   Bochud, P.Y., Hawn, T.R., Aderem, A., 2003. Cutting edge: a Toll-like receptor 2  
14       polymorphism that is associated with lepromatous leprosy is unable to mediate  
15       mycobacterial signaling. *J Immunol* 170, 3451-3454.
- 16   Burrells, C., Clarke, C.J., Colston, A., Kay, J.A., Porter, J., Little, D., Sharp, J.M., 1998. A  
17       study of immunological responses of sheep clinically-affected with paratuberculosis  
18       (Johne's disease): The relationship of blood, mesenteric lymph node and intestinal  
19       lymphocyte responses to gross and microscopic pathology. *Veterinary Immunology*  
20       and Immunopathology 66, 343-358.
- 21   Campbell, D.J., Kim, C.H., Butcher, E.C., 2003. Chemokines in the systemic organization of  
22       immunity. *Immunological Reviews* 195, 58-71.
- 23   Clarke, C.J., 1997. The pathology and pathogenesis of paratuberculosis in ruminants and  
24       other species. *J Comp Pathol* 116, 217-261.

1 Coussens, P.M., Colvin, C.J., Wiersma, K., Abouzied, A., Sipkovsky, S., 2002. Gene  
2 expression profiling of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from cattle infected with  
3 *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*. Infect. Immun. 70, 5494-5502.

4 Coussens, P.M., Jeffers, A., Colvin, C.J., 2004. Rapid and transient activation of gene  
5 expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from Johne's disease positive cows  
6 exposed to *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* in vitro. Microbial Pathogenesis 36, 93-  
7 108.

8 Dallas, P.B., Gottardo, N.G., Firth, M.J., Beesley, A.H., Hoffmann, K., Terry, P.A., Freitas,  
9 J.R., Boag, J.M., Cummings, A.J., Kees, U.R., 2005. Gene expression levels assessed  
10 by oligonucleotide microarray analysis and quantitative real-time RT-PCR -- how  
11 well do they correlate? BMC Genomics 6, 59.

12 de Silva, K., Begg, D., Carter, N., Taylor, D., Di Fiore, L., Whittington, R., 2009. The early  
13 lymphocyte proliferation response in sheep exposed to *Mycobacterium avium* subsp.  
14 paratuberculosis compared to infection status. Immunobiology.

15 Dustin, M.L., Springer, T.A., 1991. Role of lymphocyte adhesion receptors in transient  
16 interactions and cell locomotion. Annu Rev Immunol 9, 27-66.

17 Eishi, Y., Suga, M., Ishige, I., Kobayashi, D., Yamada, T., Takemura, T., Takizawa, T.,  
18 Koike, M., Kudoh, S., Costabel, U., Guzman, J., Rizzato, G., Gambacorta, M., du  
19 Bois, R., Nicholson, A.G., Sharma, O.P., Ando, M., 2002. Quantitative analysis of  
20 mycobacterial and propionibacterial DNA in lymph nodes of Japanese and European  
21 patients with sarcoidosis. J Clin Microbiol 40, 198-204.

22 Ferwerda, G., Kullberg, B.J., de Jong, D.J., Girardin, S.E., Langenberg, D.M.L., van Crevel,  
23 R., Ottenhoff, T.H.M., Van der Meer, J.W.M., Netea, M.G., 2007. *Mycobacterium*  
24 paratuberculosis is recognized by Toll-like receptors and NOD2. J Leukoc Biol 82,  
25 1011-1018.

1 Fortin, A., Abel, L., Casanova, J.L., Gros, P., 2007. Host Genetics of Mycobacterial Diseases  
2 in Mice and Men: Forward Genetic Studies of BCG-osis and Tuberculosis. Annual  
3 Review of Genomics and Human Genetics 8, 163-192.

4 Green, E.P., Tizard, M.L., Moss, M.T., Thompson, J., Winterbourne, D.J., McFadden, J.J.,  
5 Hermon-Taylor, J., 1989. Sequence and characteristics of IS900, an insertion element  
6 identified in a human Crohn's disease isolate of Mycobacterium paratuberculosis.  
7 Nucleic Acids Res 17, 9063-9073.

8 Hajishengallis, G., Wang, M., Liang, S., Triantafilou, M., Triantafilou, K., 2008. Pathogen  
9 induction of CXCR4/TLR2 cross-talk impairs host defense function. Proc Natl Acad  
10 Sci U S A 105, 13532-13537.

11 Hellemans, J., Mortier, G., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F., Vandesompele, J., 2007. qBase  
12 relative quantification framework and software for management and automated  
13 analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. Genome Biol 8, R19.

14 Kang, T.J., Yeum, C.E., Kim, B.C., You, E.Y., Chae, G.T., 2004. Differential production of  
15 interleukin-10 and interleukin-12 in mononuclear cells from leprosy patients with a  
16 Toll-like receptor 2 mutation. Immunology 112, 674-680.

17 Kapsenberg, M.L., 2003. Dendritic cell control of pathogen-driven T-cell polarization. Nat  
18 Rev Immunol 3, 984-993.

19 Kelley, K.M., Oh, Y., Gargosky, S.E., Gucev, Z., Matsumoto, T., Hwa, V., Ng, L., Simpson,  
20 D.M., Rosenfeld, R.G., 1996. Insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins (IGFBPs)  
21 and their regulatory dynamics. The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell  
22 Biology 28, 619-637.

23 Koets, A., Rutten, V., Hoek, A., van Mil, F., Müller, K., Bakker, D., Gruys, E., van Eden, W.,  
24 2002. Progressive bovine paratuberculosis is associated with local loss of CD4+ T

1 cells, increased frequency of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, and related changes in T-cell function. Infect.  
2 Immun. 70, 3856-3864.

3 Kurade, N.P., Tripathi, B.N., Rajukumar, K., Parihar, N.S., 2004. Sequential development of  
4 histologic lesions and their relationship with bacterial isolation, faecal shedding and  
5 immune responses during progressive stages of experimental infection of lambs with  
6 *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. Vet Pathol 41, 378-387.

7 Liu, Y., Tsushima, T., Miyakawa, M., Isozaki, O., Yamada, H., Xu, Z.R., Iwamoto, Y., 1999.  
8 Effect of cytokines on production of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins  
9 (IGFBPs) from human fibroblasts in culture. Endocr J 46 Suppl, S63-S66.

10 Martin, J.L., Coverley, J.A., Baxter, R.C., 1994. Regulation of immunoreactive insulin-like  
11 growth factor binding protein-6 in normal and transformed human fibroblasts. J Biol  
12 Chem 269, 11470-11477.

13 Meisner, S.J., Mucklow, S., Warner, G., Sow, S.O., Lienhardt, C., Hill, A.V., 2001.  
14 Association of NRAMP1 polymorphism with leprosy type but not susceptibility to  
15 leprosy per se in west Africans. Am J Trop Med Hyg 65, 733-735.

16 Melnick, M., Chen, H., Buckley, S., Warburton, D., Jaskoll, T., 1998. Insulin-like growth  
17 factor II receptor, transforming growth factor-beta, and Cdk4 expression and the  
18 developmental epigenetics of mouse palate morphogenesis and dysmorphogenesis.  
19 Dev Dyn 211, 11-25.

20 Morey, J.S., Ryan, J.C., Van Dolah, F.M., 2006. Microarray validation: factors influencing  
21 correlation between oligonucleotide microarrays and real-time PCR. Biol Proced  
22 Online 8, 175-193.

23 Mosmann, T.R., Coffman, R.L., 1989. TH1 and TH2 cells: different patterns of lymphokine  
24 secretion lead to different functional properties. Annu Rev Immunol 7, 145-173.

1 Mucha, R., Bhide, M.R., Chakurkar, E.B., Novak, M., Mikula, S., 2009. Toll-like receptors  
2 TLR1, TLR2 and TLR4 gene mutations and natural resistance to *Mycobacterium*  
3 *avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* infection in cattle. *Veterinary Immunology and*  
4 *Immunopathology* 128, 381-388.

5 Murphy, J.T., Sommer, S., Kabara, E.A., Verman, N., Kuelbs, M.A., Saama, P., Halgren, R.,  
6 Coussens, P.M., 2006. Gene Expression Profiling of Monocyte-Derived Macrophages  
7 Following Infection with *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *avium* and  
8 *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis*. *Physiol Genomics* 28, 67-75.

9 Nalubamba, K.S., Smeed, J.A., Gossner, A.G., Watkins, C.A., Dalziel, R.G., Hopkins, J.,  
10 2008. Differential expression of pattern recognition receptors in the three pathological  
11 forms of sheep paratuberculosis. *Microbes and Infection* 10, 598-604.

12 Pulendran, B., Smith, J.L., Caspary, G., Brasel, K., Pettit, D., Maraskovsky, E., Maliszewski,  
13 C.R., 1999. Distinct dendritic cell subsets differentially regulate the class of immune  
14 response in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96, 1036-1041.

15 Roupaka, S., 2004. Functional genomics of Johne's disease. MSc Thesis University of  
16 Edinburgh.

17 Smeed, J.A., Watkins, C.A., Rhind, S.M., Hopkins, J., 2007. Differential cytokine gene  
18 expression profiles in the three pathological forms of sheep paratuberculosis. *BMC*  
19 *Vet Res* 3, 18.

20 Smith, I., 2003. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* pathogenesis and molecular determinants of  
21 virulence. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 16, 463-496.

22 Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., Speleman,  
23 F., 2002. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric



1           averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biology* 3, research0034.1-  
2           research0034.11.

3    Veazey, R.S., Horohov, D.W., Krahenbuhl, J.L., Taylor, H.W., Oliver, J.L., Snider, T.G.,  
4           1995. Comparison of the resistance of C57BL/6 and C3H/He mice to infection with  
5           *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*. *Vet Microbiol* 47, 79-87.

6    Vidal, S.M., Malo, D., Vogan, K., Skamene, E., Gros, P., 1993. Natural resistance to infection  
7           with intracellular parasites: isolation of a candidate for Bcg. *Cell* 73, 469-485.

8    Watkins, C.A., McKellar, A., Jensen, K., George, A., Jones, D., Sharp, J.M., Stevenson, K.,  
9           Hopkins, J., 2008. Development and validation of an oligonucleotide microarray for  
10           immuno-inflammatory genes of ruminants. *Veterinary Research Communications* 32,  
11           647-657.

12   Weiss, D.J., Evanson, O.A., McClenahan, D.J., Abrahamsen, M.S., Walcheck, B.K., 2001.  
13           Regulation of expression of major histocompatibility antigens by bovine  
14           macrophages infected with *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* or  
15           *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *avium*. *Infect. Immun.* 69, 1002-1008.

16  
17  
18

1 **Figure Legends**

2 **Fig. 1.** Venn diagram showing the relationships between genes differentially expressed in the  
3 ileum of sheep infected with MAP; comparisons between the three infected groups; A,  
4 asymptomatic; M, multibacillary; P, paucibacillary. The figures are the numbers of  
5 differentially expressed genes in the different comparisons with a fold change of  $\geq 1.5$  and  
6  $P \leq 0.05$ . Figures in parentheses are the number of genes with fold change of  $\geq 1.5$  but  $P > 0.05$ .

7

8 **Fig. 2.** Distribution of GO annotations of biological processes terms of genes that were  
9 differentially expressed (fold change of  $\geq 1.5$  and  $P \leq 0.05$ ) in ileum tissue of MAP infected  
10 sheep. (a) Comparison of paucibacillary vs asymptomatic. (b) Comparison of multibacillary  
11 vs asymptomatic. (c) Comparison of multibacillary vs paucibacillary.

12

13 **Fig. S1.** Gene structures of bovine CXCR4 and IGFBP6 illustrating the positions of the  
14 cloning fragments and SNPs.

15

**Table 1**

Mean fold-changes of significantly differentially expressed genes.

NCBI Official Symbol (Gene)	Accession Number	P v A	M v A	M v P
ANPEP (CD13)	X98240	−2.32	−2.50	
C3 (complement C3)	AF038130	1.53	1.75	
C7 (complement C7)	AF162274		1.5	
C9 (complement C9)	F14494			−1.61
CFB (complement Factor B)	NM_001040526	1.52		
CCL2 (MCP-1)	M84602	1.65*	1.64	
CCL5 (RANTES)	AJ007043	−1.52		
CD3E	Z12969		−1.59	
CD3G	X52994		−1.67	
<b>CD63 (LAMP3)</b>	<b>AJ012589</b>		<b>1.87</b>	<b>1.62</b>
CLIC5	AF109199			−1.54
CXCL10 (IP-10)	AB070717	2.25		−1.72*
CXCR3 (CD183)	X95876		1.54	
<b>CXCR4 (CD185)</b>	<b>AF399642</b>		<b>1.82</b>	<b>1.9</b>
ICAM1 (CD54)	AF110984	1.45	1.9	1.31
<b>IGF2R (CD222)</b>	<b>AF327649</b>		<b>1.74</b>	<b>1.51</b>
IGFBP3	AF327651	−1.61	−1.56	
IGHA1	AF024645	1.96	1.85	
IGHM	X59994	2.31	1.83	
IGJ (J chain)	L20311	1.89		−1.61
IL-18RAP (CD218b)	NM_010553	1.68		
ITGA4 (CD49D)	AB055841	1.52		−1.59
ITGAL (CD11A/LFA-1)	BF654844	1.9	3.58	1.95
ITGAM (CD11B/MAC-1)	AW353414			1.51
<b>ITGB2 (CD18)</b>	M81233		2.03	1.95
MHC-DYA	AJ251357	1.67		
MMP7 (matrilysin)	AB031323			1.84
<b>MMP9 (gelatinase B)</b>	<b>X78324</b>		<b>1.98</b>	<b>1.76</b>
pIGR (secretory component)	L04797	1.56		
SELL (CD62L)	X62882	1.58*	1.85	1.83*
SIRPA (CD172A)	Y11045	1.41	1.65	
SLC11A1 (NRAMP1)	AF005380		1.57	1.63*
TFRC (CD71)	M11507	1.78	2.79	1.81*
<b>TLR2 (CD282)</b>	<b>AF310951</b>		<b>1.52</b>	<b>1.52</b>
TNFRSF1B (CD120b)	NM_001040490		1.62	1.5
<b>TYROBP (DAP12)</b>	<b>AJ419226</b>		<b>1.95</b>	<b>1.68</b>

A – asymptomatic; M – multibacillary; P – paucibacillary.

Bold denotes genes selected for validation by qRT–PCR. Changes less than 1.5 fold (and  $P \leq 0.05$ ) are in italics to show trends in the data. \*Changes not statistically significant, but  $>1.5$  fold. The blank cells are fold change  $<1.5$  and  $P > 0.05$ .

**Table 2**

Relative fold-change of genes analysed by qRT-PCR.

	P v A	M v A	M v P
CD63	1.1 (0.7) <sup>a</sup>	<b>3.2 (0.00)</b>	<b>3.0 (0.00)</b>
CXCR4	<b>2.89 (0.05)</b>	<b>6.13 (0.01)</b>	<b>2.12 (0.05)</b>
IGFBP6	<b>1.86 (0.05)</b>	-1.37 (0.5)	<b>-2.54 (0.02)</b>
IGF2R	<b>2.0 (0.02)</b>	<b>4.2 (0.00)</b>	<b>2.1 (0.00)</b>
ITGB2	<b>2.1 (0.02)</b>	<b>4.3 (0.00)</b>	<b>2.1 (0.00)</b>
MMP9	<b>1.6 (0.02)</b>	<b>14.9 (0.00)</b>	<b>9.3 (0.00)</b>
TLR2	1.6 (0.4)	<b>6.8 (0.00)</b>	<b>4.3 (0.00)</b>
TYROBP	<b>3.1 (0.01)</b>	<b>9.4 (0.00)</b>	<b>3.0 (0.00)</b>

A – asymptomatic; M – multibacillary; P – paucibacillary.

<sup>a</sup> mean fold change (P value). Bold,  $P \leq 0.05$ .

**Table 3**  
Distribution of SNPs

Genotype	Frequency (10 in each group)			$\chi^2$ P value
	Multi	Pauci	Asympto	
CXCR4 C <sup>2080</sup> T	2	4	4	0.33
CXCR4 G <sup>2275</sup> A	2	2	4	0.51
CXCR4 G <sup>3361</sup> A	4	2	1	0.27
IGFBP-6 C <sup>12</sup> A	1	1	1	1.00
IGFBP-6 G <sup>2730</sup> A	4	5	5	0.88
IGFBP-6 G <sup>3743</sup> A	2	1	0	0.33

Fig 1




Fig 2








Fig S1

CXCR4



IGFBP- 6



**Table S1**

Pathology, breed and age of sheep

Pathology	Breed	Age (y)
Pauci <sup>a</sup>	Blackface × Bleu du Maine	4.5
Pauci <sup>a</sup>	Texel	5.5
Pauci <sup>a</sup>	Blackface × Bleu du Maine × Lleyen × Roussin	2.5
Pauci <sup>a</sup>	Lleyen × Roussin	3.5
Pauci <sup>a</sup>	Blackface × Bleu du Maine	6
Pauci <sup>a</sup>	Texel	2.5
Pauci <sup>b</sup>	Blackface × Bleu du Maine	4.5
Pauci <sup>b</sup>	Blackface	2
Pauci	Blackface × Bleu du Maine	4
Pauci	Blackface	3.5
Multi <sup>a</sup>	Blackface	3
Multi <sup>a</sup>	Blackface	4
Multi <sup>a</sup>	Blackface	3
Multi <sup>a</sup>	Blackface	3
Multi <sup>a</sup>	Blackface	5
Multi <sup>a</sup>	Blackface	5
Multi <sup>b</sup>	Blackface	2
Multi <sup>b</sup>	Blackface × Bleu du Maine	4.5
Multi	Blackface × Bleu du Maine	4
Multi	Blackface	4
Asympto <sup>a</sup>	Blackface × Bleu du Maine	7
Asympto <sup>a</sup>	Greyface	4
Asympto <sup>a</sup>	Texel	1
Asympto <sup>a</sup>	Blackface	2.5
Asympto <sup>a</sup>	Greyface	4
Asympto <sup>a</sup>	Greyface	4
Asympto <sup>b</sup>	Blackface × Bleu du Maine	7
Asympto <sup>b</sup>	Blackface × Bleu du Maine	4.5
Asympto	Blackface × Bleu du Maine	3.5
Asympto	Blackface	3

<sup>a</sup> animals used in the microarray experiments<sup>b</sup> additional animals used in qRT-PCR experiments

**Table S2**

Primer sequences for qRT-PCR

Gene Accession no	Primers	Annealing Temp °C	Product size (bp)	Primer conc (nM)
CD63 BC151412.1	F - GGGCTGTGTGGAGAAGATTG R - GATGAGGGGGCTGAAGAGAC	61	178	F: 700 R: 1000
CXCR4 AF399642	F - ACCTCCTGTTTGTCTACG R - AATGTCCACCTCGCTTGC	62	163	F: 500 R: 500
IGFBP-6 NM_001134308	F - AAGGAGAGTAAGCCCCAAGC R - CGGGAAGGAGTGGTAGAGGT	60	95	F: 500 R: 500
ITGB2 NM_001009485	F - CTCACCGACAACCTCCAAACA R - AAAGTGGAACCCATCGTCTG	57	180	F: 400 R: 400
IGF2R AF353513	F - GACGACCTGAAGACCCTGAA R - GCAAATGAAGCGGATGATG	60	150	F: 500 R: 500
MMP9 X78324	F - GAGGGTAAGGTGCTGCTGTTC R - AAGATGTCGTGCGTGCTAATG	62	133	F: 400 R: 500
TLR2 AM183218	F - GCACTTCAACCCTCCCTTTTA R - TCTCCGAAAGCACAAAGATG	57	125	F: 500 R: 500
TYROBP AJ419228.1	F - GACCTGATGCTGACCCTCC R - CTGTCTCCGTGATGTGCTGT	56	112	F: 400 R: 500
SDHA NM_174178	F - ACCTGATGCTTTGTGCTCTGC R - CCTGGATGGGCTTGGAGTAA	62	126	F: 500 R: 500
YWHAZ BC102382	F - TGTAGGAGCCCGTAGGTCATC R - TCTCTCTGTATTCTCGAGCCAT	58	101	F: 500 R: 500

**Table S3.** Primer sets used to sequence CXCR4 and IGFBP-6

Gene Accession Number	Primer Sequence	Size (bp)	Start position <sup>a</sup>
<b>CXCR4</b>  NM_174301	F1 - GAAACTTCAGTGTGTTGGCT	186	-96
	R1 - CCACTCAGAGAGGCGGTTG		
	F2 - ATTCCCTTGCCTGTTTTTCA	561	2035
	R2 - GTACCTCTCATCCACCTCCTTG		
	F3 - GTCTGGCTACCTGCTGTCCT	536	2521
	R3 - GAATGTCCACCTCGCTTGC		
	F4 - CCCATCCTCTATGCCTTCCT	398	2938
	R4 - CGGTCCTACAATGACACACAGC		
	F5 - GAATCTTCAAGTTTTCACTCCAG	593	3076
	R5 - GCCAAAGGAATGCCAATAG		
<b>IGFBP-6</b>  NM_001040495	F1 - CTGGGAAGGGAGGAGGTAGAG	456	-227
	R1 - CGCAGTTGGGAGTGTAGACC		
	F2 - CTTGCGGCTGTAGGAGAGAATC	255	2291
	R2 - CAGGACTGGGATGGGAGACTT		
	F3 - AAGTCTCCCATCCCAGTCCT	296	2525
	R3 - GAAGGGCAGCGTCTCATTTA		
	F4 - GAGGTCCTTGCTGGTGTG	306	3514
	R4 - GAACACAGAGACATAAACCAGAG		

<sup>a</sup> numbered relative to start codon.